

# Draft Practice Guide

## **Recognition of Prior Learning and** Credit Transfer

(Standard 1.6, 1.7)

Ver 1.0 Published 12 May 2025



**Quality Area 1 - Training and Assessment** 

### What are the key concepts?

The following key concepts are covered in this practice guide:

Standard 1.6	Standard 1.7
Recognition of prior learning (RPL)	Credit transfer
Evidence of prior learning	Equivalency
Equivalency and currency	Authenticating transcripts
RPL assessment	

### **Achieving these Standards in practice**

The following table lists examples of activities that may demonstrate compliance with the Standards, as well as risks to mitigate or control. These examples are not a complete list of every activity or risk, nor do all the activities listed need to be completed to achieve compliance. Rather, they are a guide and should be considered within the context, size, scale and student cohorts of your RTO's operations.

recognition of prior learning to progress through the relevant training product.	
Performance Indicators	Example activities and considerations for compliance
An NVR registered training organisation demonstrates:  a. VET students are offered opportunities to seek recognition of prior learning and are made aware of the organisation's policies for seeking recognition of prior learning;  b. decisions relating to recognition of prior learning are based on evidence of prior skills, learning and experience, and are undertaken in accordance with the organisation's assessment system; and	You can demonstrate how your RPL policies, processes and tools are designed and applied with the same rigour as your assessment system, including that they:  are consistent with, and maintain the integrity of, the training
	<ul> <li>product requirements</li> <li>ensure currency of evidence provided by the student</li> <li>meet the requirements of the principles of assessment and rules of evidence (Standard 1.4)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>result in transparent, defensible and documented decisions.</li> <li>You can demonstrate how you make students aware of:         <ul> <li>their right to have their prior learning recognised (where it is not prevented by any licensing or regulatory requirements), and</li> <li>your organisation's RPL policy and process.</li> </ul> </li> <li>You can demonstrate how your RPL approach accommodates the variety of experiences and learning pathways that students present.</li> </ul>

- c. decisions relating to recognition of prior learning are documented and decided in a way that is fair, transparent, consistent amongst VET students, and maintains the integrity of the training product.
- You can demonstrate how students are made aware of the role any third parties will play in their RPL assessment.
- You can demonstrate how you ensure that the person/s responsible for assessing the RPL evidence, including those engaged through third parties, meet the requirements of Standards 3.2 and 3.3.
- You ensure that your staff understand that granting RPL where the student does not meet the requirements of the training product may have serious consequences for public safety, industry confidence and the reputation of the VET sector and can demonstrate to ASQA how your policies, systems and processes provide this assurance.
- You can demonstrate how you validate and assure your RPL practices and processes to be confident that decisions are being made in accordance with the Standards, and where third parties conduct RPL on your RTO's behalf, you can demonstrate how you monitor and validate the quality of their RPL practices with the same level of rigour.
- Where assessment of the RPL evidence identifies gaps, you can demonstrate how you work with the student in relation to the amount of gap training required, how that training will be delivered and any costs associated with it.

#### Known risks to quality outcomes

- Using inadequate assessment practices or business models that cut corners in issuing RPL which can lead to persons without the expected competencies entering critical roles, including in industries with vulnerable people and mandatory qualifications such as aged care, disability services and early childhood education and care.
- Promoting RPL as an easy, quick or guaranteed path to qualifications.
- Using RPL systems that do not apply the same rigour as the organisation's assessment system, including not upholding assessment record retention requirements.
- Making inadequate inquiries with students seeking RPL, or agents seeking RPL on their behalf – for example, not being wary of nongenuine students that may be seeking RPL as a vehicle to facilitate other objectives.
- Failing to verify that RPL evidence submitted by students is authentic.
- Outsourcing RPL assessments to unregulated third parties that do not understand or apply the Standards to their practices – for example, using third parties that do not engage properly qualified or trained assessors,
- Failing to ensure RPL assessment practices are robust enough to meet the applicable threshold for high-risk work licencing, where applicable.
- Failing to ensure that RPL evidence of overseas qualifications or competencies have been mapped to Australian legislative and regulatory requirements – for example Australian Work Health and Safety legislation or other industry-specific laws).
- Failing to robustly assess or test RPL evidence for currency against training package requirements, or against the rules of evidence.
- Automatically granting RPL for students that hold a higher AQF level qualification in the same industry.
- Having insufficient systems for identifying and addressing a student's RPL gaps.

### Standard 1.7: VET students who have completed an equivalent training product are supported to obtain a credit transfer.

#### **Performance indicators**

An NVR registered training organisation demonstrates:

- VET students are offered opportunities to seek credit transfer and are made aware of the organisation's policies for seeking credit transfer;
- b. decisions on credit transfer are based on evidence of prior completion of an equivalent training product demonstrated by AQF certification documentation or an authenticated VET transcript (unless prevented by licensing or regulatory requirements or the training product); and
- c. decisions relating to credit transfer are documented and decided in a way that is fair, transparent, consistent amongst VET students, and maintains the integrity of the training product.

### Example activities and other considerations for compliance

- Your policies and processes clearly outline that you provide students with a copy of your organisation's policy for seeking credit transfer, including when and how credit transfer will be administered.
- You can demonstrate that you authenticate AQF certification documentation provided by students (including VET transcripts) in support of credit transfer requests by directly accessing the USI transcript service or by contacting the issuing organisation to verify authenticity.
- You can demonstrate that when considering requests for credit transfer, equivalence<sup>1</sup> is clearly evidenced before granting credit.
- You can demonstrate that where you do not grant credit transfer because the unit of competency is not deemed equivalent, you provide students with an appropriate alternative pathway, such as RPL.

### Known risks to quality outcomes

- Failing to make your credit transfer policy and process available to students prior to enrolment.
- Not granting eligible students credit for equivalent training products where appropriate, requiring them to undertake unnecessary training and/or assessment.
- Failing to check the authenticity of the student's original AQF certification before granting credit transfer.
- Issuing qualifications or Statements of Attainment via credit transfer based on training wholly completed through RPL or credit transfer at a different RTO.
- Failing to consider the contextual elements (e.g. relevant licencing requirements) when assessing a student's evidence.

### **Self-assurance questions**

- How do you ensure students know about and understand when RPL or credit transfer is a valid option for them?
- How are you testing the authenticity of evidence supplied by students seeking RPL or credit transfer?
- How do you ensure that your recognition of prior learning and credit transfer policies are consistently applied?
- How are you ensuring that staff can recognise when a request for credit transfer becomes a request for RPL?
- What systems and processes do you have in place to determine RPL and credit transfer, including equivalency?
- What training do you provide to your trainers and assessors, including third parties, to assist them in managing expectations of students seeking 'easy' RPL or credit transfer?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Equivalence may be determined by the following:

<sup>•</sup> a unit of competency with the current unit code and title

<sup>•</sup> a *superseded* unit of competency that the training package developer has determined to be equivalent (as published on the National Register)

Note: although not required, you may conduct a mapping analysis for units that have been 'superseded equivalent' twice or more to assure yourself that the assessment of competence is still relevant. If you find there is a gap in either the training or the assessment, you may refuse the credit transfer.