



Course Accreditation Evaluation Report –



Application ID - Course Name





Course owner details					
Legal name					
Trading name					
Contact name					
Email			Phone		
Focus of evaluation					
Proposed title	Regulated/licensed outcome			ensed outcome	
Evaluation details					
Evaluation purpose	☐ Initial accreditation	☐ Renewal of acci	reditation	☐ Amendment	
Submission date	Click or tap to enter a date.	Submission refere	nce		
Standards Evaluated	9, 10.1 – 10.15				
Initial evaluation	Initial evaluation				
Evaluation outcome	□ Compliant with the Standards for VET Accredited Courses				
Evaluation outcome	□ Not compliant with the Standards for VET Accredited Courses			rses	
Date report completed	Click or tap to enter a date.	Accreditation Asse	essor Che	oose an item.	
Evaluation of rectification	n evidence				
Date evidence received	Click or tap to enter a date.			_	
Evaluation outcome	☐ Compliant with the Standa	ards for VET Accred	lited Courses		
Evaluation outcome	□ Not compliant with the <i>St</i>	andards for VET Ac	credited Cours	ses	
Date report completed	Click or tap to enter a date.	Accreditation Asse	essor Che	oose an item.	
Important Steps to Follo	w – Submission of Rectificat	tion Evidence			
Step 1 - Check changes	to course document				
	All changes must be clearly shown in the re-submitted course document.				
Use shading, a different colour text or 'tracked changes' to identify the changes.					
Step 2 – Check grammar and punctuation					
 Check that grammar and punctuation have been used correctly. Check that abbreviations have not been used in the course document. 					

Standards for VET Accredited Courses – Applications for course accreditation that are accepted by National VET Regulator (the Regulator) will be accredited by the Regulator if they are assessed as meeting Standard 9 and the 15 course standards at Part 3, Standard 10. These course standards **must** be met for accreditation.

KEY RESOURCES

Accredited course document template	https://www.asqa.gov.au/resources/forms/course-accreditation
Standards for VET Accredited Courses 2021	https://www.legislation.gov.au/Details/F2021L00269
Standards for Training Packages	https://docs.employment.gov.au/system/files/doc/other/standardsfortrainingpackages.pdf
Australian Qualifications Framework Second Edition January 2013	www.aqf.edu.au
National Training Packages	training.gov.au
Victorian Purchasing Guides	www.education.vic.gov.au/training/providers/rto/Pages/purchasing guides.aspx
Users' guide to the Standards for VET Accredited Courses	https://www.asqa.gov.au/standards-vac

FINDINGS AGAINST EACH OF THE COURSE DESIGN STANDARDS AFTER EVALUATION			
Standard	Finding after initial evaluation	Finding following rectification	
9	Make a selection	n/a	
10.1	Make a selection	n/a	
10.2	Make a selection	n/a	
10.3	Make a selection	n/a	
10.4	Make a selection	n/a	
10.5	Make a selection	n/a	
10.6	Make a selection	n/a	
10.7	Make a selection	n/a	
10.8	Make a selection	n/a	
10.9	Make a selection	n/a	
10.10	Make a selection	n/a	
10.11	Make a selection	n/a	
10.12	Make a selection	n/a	
10.13	Make a selection	n/a	
10.14	Make a selection	n/a	
10.15	Make a selection	n/a	

EVALUATION NOTES:

- This report must be read in conjunction with the course document submitted for evaluation.
- One opportunity to address the non-compliance identified is provided. It is suggested you do not
 make any changes to the content of other sections in the course document that have been assessed
 as compliant with the Standards for VET Accredited Courses. Changing sections assessed as
 compliant could lead to the creation of new non-compliance. If you want to make changes to these
 sections, contact the Accreditation Assessor to discuss before any changes are made to the course
 document.
- INSERT OTHER NOTES IF NIL REQUIRED DELETE Example, Changes required to Section A

Standard 9 A course must not duplicate, by title or coverage, the outcomes of an endorsed Training Package qualification or skill set. Evaluation findings (Sections 1.1, 3.1 and Section C of National Template for Course Documentation for Accreditation) Original finding: Choose an item. Following rectification: Choose an item. Findings: Υ Ν N/A The title does not duplicate, either wholly or substantially, that of a training package qualification or skill set. The proposed course does not duplicate, by title, or coverage, the outcomes of a training package qualification or skill set. Enterprise units of competency are unique and do not duplicate the outcomes of nationally endorsed training package units. Reasons for finding of non-compliance: The course developer provided a course document (list additional documentation). The course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. / The course document and (list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. 1. OR Nil identified Further evidence required: In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing evidence that meets the requirement of this standard. OR Nil required Analysis of rectification evidence received Date:

Standard 10.1 A course must be based on an established industry, education, legislative enterprise or community need. Evaluation findings (Sections 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2 of National Template for Course Documentation for Accreditation) Original finding: Choose an item. Following rectification: Choose an item. Υ N/A Findings: N • The title reflects the course outcomes. The course description is stated aligns to its intent. Evidence of industry, education, legislative, enterprise or community need and support is included and sufficient. Major client and/or industry groups are identified and relevant. Evidence demonstrates the course, including the enterprise units of competency has been developed in consultation with, and validated by relevant stakeholders. A summary of the consultation and validation activities undertaken during the development of the course is included in the course document. In addition, if seeking to renew accreditation: Details of how monitoring and evaluation have contributed to the development of the revised course are included and sufficient. Any transition arrangements from the existing course to the new course for learners currently enrolled in the existing course are described and appropriate. Reasons for finding of non-compliance: The course developer provided a course document (list additional documentation). The course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. / The course document and (list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. 1. OR Nil identified Further evidence required: In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing evidence that meets the requirement of this standard. OR Nil required

(Working together)

Analysis of rectification evidence received Date:

Standard 10.2 A course must be based on nationally endorsed units of competency where these are available and where these are not available the course is based on:

- (a) units of competency developed as part of the course in accordance with the unit of competency template; or
- (b) where 10. 4 applies, modules.

Note: units of competency or modules are developed in consultation with, and validated by industry, enterprise, community and/or professional groups and documented in accordance with these Standards.

Standard 10.3 A course must include skills and knowledge components, expressed as:

- (a) units of competency; or
- (b) where subsection 10(4) applies, modules.

Standard 10.4 A course may only include modules in exceptional circumstances where the applicant can demonstrate the need for the module and why it is not possible to develop a unit of competency prior to commencing course development.

Evaluation findings (Sections 3.1 and Section C of National Template for Course Documentation for Accreditation)

Original finding: Choose an item. Following rectification: Choose an item.

Fi	ndings:	Y	N	N/A
•	Units of competency are documented in accordance with the requirements of the unit of competency template.			

Reasons for finding of non-compliance:

The course developer provided a course document (list additional documentation).

The course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. / The course document and (list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with this Standard.

1.

OR

Nil identified

Further evidence required:

• In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing evidence that meets the requirement of this standard.

OR

The examples listed provide guidance on the types of non-compliance identified and <u>are not</u> an
exhaustive list and may not be exclusive to the identified units. A full review of <u>ALL</u> enterprise units is
required. In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing evidence that
meets the requirement of this standard.

OR

Nil required

Standard 10.5 A course either leads to a: VET qualification and have course outcomes that are consistent with the Australian Qualifications Framework qualification descriptor identified for the course; or VET Statement of Attainment (when course outcomes meet an identified industry, education, legislative, enterprise or community need but do not have the breadth and depth required for a VET qualification as stated in the Australian Qualifications Framework. Evaluation findings (Sections 4.1 and Section C of National Template for Course Documentation for Accreditation) Original finding: Choose an item. Following rectification: Choose an item. Findings: Υ N N/A An accurate description of how the intended course outcomes are consistent with the AQF qualification proposed for the course is included. The outcomes of unit(s) of competency align to the proposed AQF level of the course. The qualification meets the Volume of Learning requirements of the AQF. The course outcomes do not align to an AQF level (Course in). Reasons for finding of non-compliance: The course developer provided a course document (list additional documentation).

The course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. / The course document and (list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with this Standard.

1.

OR

• Nil identified

Further evidence required:

• In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing evidence that meets the requirement of this standard.

OR

Nil required

Analysis of rectification evidence received Date:

	Standard 10.6 A course identifies VET accredited courses identifies fourse outcomes.	oundation skills rel	evant to	the
E۱	Evaluation findings (Section 4.2 of National Template for Course Documenta	tion for Accreditation)	
0	Original finding: Choose an item. Following rectification	on: Choose an item.		
Fi	Findings:		Υ	N
•	Section B: 4.2 includes the statement, "Foundation skills applicable to course are identified in the units of competency".	the outcomes of this		
•	Section B:4.2 identifies Foundation skills not explicitly identified in the	units of competency		
Re	easons for finding of non-compliance:			
The	ne course developer provided a course document (list additional documer	itation).		
	ne course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance		se docun	<mark>nent</mark>
1.				
OR	R			
•	Nil identified			
Fu	urther evidence required:			
•	 In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for the requirement of this standard. 	providing evidence t	hat meet	S
OR	R			
•	Nil required			

					_
	andard 10.7 A course confirms recognition to be giver ofessional or industry bodies where applicable.	to the course by licen	sing, regul	atory,	
	aluation findings (Sections 4.3 and 4.4 of National Template creditation)	for Course Documentation	on for		
Ori	iginal finding: Choose an item. Followi	ng rectification: Choose	an item.		
Fin	ndings:		Υ	N	N/A
•	The recognition given to the course by professional or indescribed and evidenced, if applicable.	dustry bodies is accurate	ely 🗌		
•	The recognition given to the course for the purpose of its regulatory requirements is accurately described and evid				
Rea	sons for finding of non-compliance:				
The	course developer provided a course document (list addition	onal documentation).			
	course document provided does not demonstrate complia			e docu	<mark>ment</mark>
and	(list additional documentation) provided do not demonstra	ate compliance with this	Standard.		
1.					
OR					
•	Nil identified				
Furt	ther evidence required:				
•	In order to become compliant the course developer is rethe requirement of this standard.	esponsible for providing	evidence tha	at mee	ets
OR					
•	Nil required				
Ana	lysis of rectification evidence received Date:				

C+	andard 10.8 A course must specify rules for the stru	esture of the course			
Eva	Evaluation findings (Section 5.1 and Section C of National Template for Course Documentation for Accreditation)				
Or	iginal finding: Choose an item. Follow	wing rectification: Choose	an item.		
Fir	ndings:			Υ	N
•	The rules for completion are clear.				
•	Adequate and accurate advice on nominal hours is pro	ovided.			
•	Adequate guidance on sequencing and pre-requisites	is provided.			
•	The content and/or the combination of units of competent knowledge outcomes.	ency reflect the intended s	kills and		
The	course developer provided a course document (list addecourse document provided does not demonstrate composite (list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate composite additional documentation)	pliance with this Standard.		docum	ent
<mark>and</mark> 1.	(list additional documentation) provided do not demons	trate compliance with this	Standard.		
or					
•	Nil identified				
Furt	ther evidence required:				
•	In order to become compliant the course developer is the requirement of this standard.	s responsible for providing	evidence that	t meets	;
OR					
•	Nil required				

	dard 10.9 A course must identify exit points from the course which provide teational outcomes where applicable.	for vocat	ional c	r
Evalu	uation findings (Section 5.1 of National Template for Course Documentation for Accre	editation)		
Origi	inal finding: Choose an item. Following rectification: Choose an	item.		
Findi	ings:	Υ	N	N/A
	any exit points from the course that provide vocational or education outcomes are dentified and appropriate.			
	Section B: 5.1 includes the statement 'A Statement of Attainment will be issued for ny unit of competency completed if the full qualification is not completed'.			
Reaso	ons for finding of non-compliance:			
	ourse developer provided a course document (list additional documentation).			
The co	ourse document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. / ¯	The cours	e docu	<mark>ment</mark>
and (lis	st additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with this St	<mark>andard.</mark>		
1.				
OR				
•	Nil identified			
Furthe	er evidence required:			
	In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing exthe requirement of this standard.	vidence th	at mee	ets
OR				
•	Nil required			

Standard 10.10 A course must provide information applicable.	on educational pathways and artic	ulatio	n wh	ere
Evaluation findings (Section 8.1 of National Template for	Course Documentation for Accreditati	on)		
Original finding: Choose an item. Fol	lowing rectification: Choose an item.			
Findings:		Υ	N	N/A
 Information is provided on pathways into other VET complete the course. 	courses for learners who			
 Details about any formalised articulation arrangement described and evidenced. 	ents with other organisations are			
Reasons for finding of non-compliance:				
The course developer provided a course document (list				
The course document provided does not demonstrate cannot demonstrate cannot demonstrate cannot demone demonstrate cannot demone demonerate de mot			docur	<mark>nent</mark>
,	onstrate compliance with this Standar	<mark>u.</mark>		
1.				
OR				
Nil identified				
Further evidence required:				
In order to become compliant the course developed the requirement of this standard.	er is responsible for providing evidenc	e that	t mee	is
OR				
Nil required				

	Standard 10.11 A course must specify any entry requirements to the course and justify any explicit limitations to access.					
Eva	luation findings (Section 5.2 of National Template for Course	Documentation for Accreditat	ion)			
Ori	ginal finding: Choose an item. Following	rectification: Choose an item.				
Fin	dings:		Υ	N	N/A	
•	Any mandatory entry requirements are clearly described.					
•	Any explicit limitations to course entry are clearly describe	d and justified.				
	Any recommended entry requirements likely to facilitate su course are included, as appropriate, e.g. language, literacy	•				
Reas	sons for finding of non-compliance:					
The	course developer provided a course document (list addition	nal documentation).				
	course document provided does not demonstrate compliar			docu	<mark>ment</mark>	
and ((list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate	e compliance with this Standa	<mark>rd.</mark>			
1.						
OR						
•	Nil identified					
Furtl	her evidence required:					
•	In order to become compliant the course developer is resthe requirement of this standard.	sponsible for providing evidend	ce tha	t mee	ts	
OR						
•	Nil required					
Δnal	lysis of rectification evidence received Date:					

Sta	andard 10.12 A course must specify course assessment strategies, which:						
(a)	(a) are valid, reliable, flexible and fair;						
(b)	(b) provide for the collection of evidence of competency that is sufficient, valid, authentic and current;						
(c)	(c) are consistent with the assessment requirements in the relevant Training Package(s) where nationally endorsed units of competency are used;						
(d)	are consistent with the assessment requirement in the relevant VET accredited units from an accredited course are used;	cours	es wh	ere			
(e)							
(f)	identify and justify any requirements for workplace and/or simulated assessme						
EV	aluation findings (Section 6.1 of National Template for Course Documentation for Accredita	ition)					
Or	iginal finding: Choose an item. Following rectification: Choose an item.						
Fir	ndings:	Y	N	N/A			
As	sessment strategies specified:						
•	are valid, reliable, flexible and fair (i.e. Principles of Assessment)						
•	provide for the collection of evidence of competency that is sufficient, valid, authentic and current (i.e. Rules of Evidence)						
•	are consistent with the assessment guidelines in the relevant Training Package(s)/accredited course(s) where imported units of competency are used						
•	ensure that workplace and regulatory requirements, where relevant, are met						
•	identify and justify any requirements for workplace and/or simulated assessment.						
Rea	sons for finding of non-compliance:						
The	course developer provided a course document (list additional documentation).						
	course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. / The (list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with this Standard.		docu	<mark>ment</mark>			
1.							
OR							
•	Nil identified						
Fur	ther evidence required:						
•	In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing evider the requirement of this standard.	nce tha	it mee	ts			
OR							
•	Nil required						

(Working together)

Analysis of rectification evidence received Date:

ad	Standard 10.13 A course must provide guidance on appropriate delivery modes, together with advice on limitations on course delivery modes and any requirements for work placements or onthe-job training.					
Eva	aluation findings (Section 7.1 of National Template for Course Documentation for	or Accreditation)				
Ori	iginal finding: Choose an item. Following rectification: C	hoose an item.				
Fir	ndings:	Y	N	N/A		
•	Essential delivery modes are identified and justified.					
•	Limitations to the delivery modes that may be chosen are appropriately iden	tified.				
•	Requirements for work placements or on-the-job training are identified.					
Rea	asons for finding of non-compliance:					
The	course developer provided a course document (list additional documentation	i <mark>).</mark>				
The	course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Stand	lard. / The cours	<mark>e docu</mark>	<mark>ment</mark>		
<mark>and</mark>	(list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with	this Standard.				
1.						
OR						
•	Nil identified					
Furt	ther evidence required:					
•	In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for provi the requirement of this standard.	ding evidence th	at mee	ets		
OR						
•	Nil required					
Ana	alysis of rectification evidence received Date:					

Standard 10.14 A course must specify facilities and resources and the vocational competency requirements of trainers and assessors essential for the delivery of the course. Vocational competency must be considered on an industry-by-industry basis and with reference to the assessment requirements of the VET accredited course and assessment conditions of units of competency.

Evaluation findings (Sections 6.2 and 7.2 of National Template for Course Documentation for Accreditation)

Original finding: Choose an item. Following rectification: Choose an item.									
Findings:			Υ	N	N/A				
•	Compliance with the requirements for the competence of staff and assessment as benchmarked against the <i>Standards for Forganisations (RTOs) 2015</i> as a minimum requirement is con	Registered Training							
•	Appropriate guidance on any specialist vocational competence trainers is provided and justified.	y requirements for							
•	Appropriate guidance on any specialist vocational competence assessors is provided and justified.	y requirements for							
•	Units of competency imported from training packages reflect t trainers and assessors as specified in that training package.	he requirements for							
•	Details of any specialised facilities and equipment essential for	or delivery are provided.							
Reasons for finding of non-compliance: The course developer provided a course document (list additional documentation).									
The course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. / The course document and (list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with this Standard.									
1.									
OR									
•	Nil identified								
Further evidence required:									
•	• In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing evidence that meets the requirement of this standard.								
OR									
•	Nil required								
Analysis of rectification evidence received Date:									
•	Evidence yet to be supplied								

Standard 10.15 A course must incorporate and identify course monitoring and evaluation processes which ensure that:

- (a) the course content and outcomes are reviewed and remain current and relevant throughout the period of accreditation;
- (b) the VET regulator is informed of any proposed changes to the course (for example, changes to entry requirements, course structure, inclusion of training package units, or changes to core and elective units) and, if required, any relevant material is provided to the VET Regulator to enable it

throughout the period of accreditation; and (c) f the course is changed as a result of course monitoring and evaluation, any RTO that has been licensed or franchised to deliver the course is advised of the changes by the copyright owner.								
Evaluation findings (Section 9.1 of National Template for Course Documentation for Accreditation)								
Orig	inal finding: Choose an item.	Following rectification: Choose an item.						
Find	ings:		Υ	N				
	he process to be followed for monitoring and eva elevance and currency is described.	luating the course to maintain its						
 Section B: 9.1 includes the statement, 'ASQA will be informed of any proposed changes to the course to enable it to determine whether the course remains current and continues to comply with these standards throughout the period of accreditation'. 								
 Section B: 9.1 includes advice that it will notify RTOs licensed/franchised to deliver the course of any changes to the course by the copyright owner. 								
Reasons for finding of non-compliance:								
The course developer provided a course document (list additional documentation).								
The course document provided does not demonstrate compliance with this Standard. / The course document and (list additional documentation) provided do not demonstrate compliance with this Standard.								
1.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
OR								
•	Nil identified							
Further evidence required:								
 In order to become compliant the course developer is responsible for providing evidence that meets the requirement of this standard. 								
OR								
•	Nil required							
Δns	lysis of rectification evidence received Date:							

Evidence yet to be supplied

END OF REPORT